



CITY OF WESTMINSTER

MINUTES

Licensing Sub-Committee (1)

MINUTES OF PROCEEDINGS

Minutes of a meeting of the **Licensing Sub-Committee (1)** held on **Thursday 11th August, 2022**, Rooms 18.01 - 18.03 - 18th Floor, 64 Victoria Street, London, SW1E 6QP.

Members Present: Councillors Aziz Toki (Chair), Angela Piddock and Melvyn Caplan

1. MEMBERSHIP

THERE WERE NO CHANGES TO THE MEMBERSHIP.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

THERE WERE NO DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST.

1. W HOTEL LEICESTER SQUARE, 10 WARDOUR STREET, W10 6QF

WESTMINSTER CITY COUNCIL LICENSING SUB-COMMITTEE NO.1 ("The Committee")

Licensing Review Decision

Thursday 11 August 2022

Membership: Councillor Aziz Toki (Chairman), Councillor Piddock and Councillor Caplan

W Hotel, Leicester Square, 10 Wardour Street, London, W1D 6QF ("Premises") (22/06997/LIPDPS)

CASE SUMMARY

The Metropolitan Police ("Applicant") have submitted an application for a Summary Review of the Premises Licence for the above Premises pursuant to Section 53A of the Licensing Act 2003 (the "Act") on 18 July 2022 as the Police considered the Premises are associated with serious crime and serious disorder.

The Premises Licence Holder (PLH) of the Premises is W Leicester Square Limited (Operator).

The Interim Steps Hearing took place on 20th July 2022, when the Committee imposed the following Interim Steps.

To modify the conditions of the Premises Licence:

- a) The sale of alcohol shall be restricted to hotel residents and up to 4 bona fide guests of each resident.
- b) The Removal of Dario Mazzoli as the DPS

The purpose of today's hearing is to determine Preliminary Submissions in relation to Reg 14 of the Licensing Act 2003 (Hearing) Regulations 2005, the full Review by deciding whether it is appropriate and proportionate to take any steps to promote the licensing objectives and then to review the Interim Steps imposed on the 20th July 2022.

Persons attending the hearing:

For the Metropolitan Police: Mr James Rankin (Counsel for the Applicant)
PC Tom Stewart
PC Steve Muldoon

For the Premises Licence Holder (PLH):

- Gary Grant – (Counsel for the Premises Licence Holder)
- Joanna Chugh - Area Vice President, UK Ireland and Nordics
- Stuart Bowery - Multi Property General Manager, JW Marriott Grosvenor House and W London Hotel
- Tony Matthews - Multi Property Director of Loss Prevention, JW Marriott Grosvenor House and W London Hotel
- Collin Ten Dam - Hotel Manager, W London
- Karina Elias - Director of Food Beverage, JW Marriott Grosvenor House London (DPS Of W Hotel)
- Dario Mazzoli - Director of Food Beverage, W London
- Adrian Studd – Crime and Disorder Specialist
- Marcus Lavell – Legal Representative for Premises Licence Holder

For Licensing Authority: Karyn Abbott – Licensing Officer

Other Officers present:

The Legal Adviser	– Steve Burnett.
The Presenting Officer	– Jessica Donovan;
The Committee Officer	– Sarah Craddock

Activities and Hours

The Premises is a five-star hotel with associated restaurant, bar/lounge and meeting room areas which provides regulated entertainment: -

The hours are as stated on the existing Premises Licence.

The opening hours and times for licensable activities are Mondays to Sundays 24 hrs.

PRELIMINARY SUBMISSIONS

As a preliminary point, the Applicant has made a submission that the playing of the video footage as evidence at the hearing, should be done in private.

Mr Rankin on behalf of the Applicant submitted that there are still matters which are subject to live, ongoing criminal investigations in relation to numerous serious criminal offences. There are suspects still outstanding. It is therefore in the public interest not to show the video footage to the public.

The Applicant has no submissions as to whether the remainder of the hearing should also be heard in private.

The Licensing Authority and Counsel for the Operator have no objections or further submission.

Preliminary submissions decision.

The Committee has considered the submissions from all parties and have determined that the viewing of video footage to be used as evidence at the hearing, will be done in private.

It is in the Public Interest to exclude the public under Reg 14 of the Licensing Act 2003 (Hearing) Regulations 2005 from the viewing of video footage in the hearing. However, the remainder of the hearing will be a public hearing.

The public were excluded and the recording of the hearing was suspended whilst the Committee viewed the Applicant's video evidence.

FULL REVIEW OF THE PREMISES LICENCE

1. Ms Donovan confirmed to the Committee that this is an application for a Summary Review of the Premises Licence for **W Hotel, Leicester Square, 10 Wardour Street, London, W1D 6QF**.
2. An Interim Steps hearing took place on 20 July 2022 to determine what steps should be taken whilst waiting the full Summary Review. Full details of the Interim Step decision are found at pages 230-232 of the agenda papers.

3. The Committee were reminded that the Review had been brought by the Applicant on the grounds that the Premises being associated with serious crime and or serious disorder or both. Full details of the Summary Review can be found at page 29-32 of the agenda.
4. Since the Review had been requested, a representation has been received from the Licensing Authority (Ms Abbott), supporting the Applicant.
5. The Premises are situated in the St James' Ward and fall within the West End cumulative impact zone.

Submissions on behalf of the Applicant.

6. Mr Rankin confirmed to the Committee that this Review relates to a five-star Hotel on Wardour Street. At 22:25 on 10th July a call was made to the police, and they were informed that there was an affray (public disorder) at the Premises.
7. Mr Rankin summarised that 2 police officers attended and on the first floor lounge and bar area of the Premises and when the lift doors opened, the police witnessed 20-30 people fighting.
8. Police officers took out their batons and 'pepper' spray and attempts were made to break up the fight. However, it was clear the police were overwhelmed as the fight continues and bottles were being thrown.
9. The police officers retreated into the lift, there was no radio signal on this floor, and they chose to wait for backup.
10. Mr Rankin further explained to the Members that security staff were not easily recognisable as they were not wearing their ID badges and that the event was an externally promoted event. The Premises had previously permitted one externally promoted event in April 2022 and there were also problems on that occasion, where an ice bucket was used as a weapon causing serious injuries.
11. At the more recent event in July, 3 arrests were made and potentially a further 12 arrests are to be carried out, if the offenders can be identified from video footage.
12. The Committee were informed that 5 security staff were injured and taken to hospital and 2 police officers were also injured.
13. Mr Rankin provided the Committee with more details, submitting that the Premises hosted an event which was externally promoted and that the police are always concerned about these types of events due to the Premises management having less control over the running of such events.
14. After the 2 April 2022 externally promoted event, the police engaged with the Premises managers and agreed an action plan.

15. Mr Rankin conceded that the aggravating features mentioned at the Interim Steps hearing on the 20th July 2022, can no longer be relied on. Namely that:
- There was unease amongst door staff and managers were informed at an early stage at the event about the quality of patrons arriving, as 2 were wearing ankle tags and patrons were making frequent trips to bathroom indicating drug taking; and
 - The action plan that was put in place on 7 April 2022, detailed number of actions which the Premises would comply with but although there were aspects that were not adhered to, it is not right to say that most of the plan had been ignored.
16. The Committee were advised that the Applicant has had discussions with the operator and feel that the Premises Licence Holders are good operators. Fourteen new conditions have been proposed and agreed. The conditions have been reviewed at length and the Applicant is now entirely satisfied that they will promote the licensing objectives.
17. Mr Rankin summarised to the Committee brief details of the conditions as:
- No externally promoted events
 - More visible SIA and wearing badges and high visibility jackets
 - Thorough protocol dealing with calling of police and logging of incidents
 - Wanted blind spots of incidents and lifts to have CCTV
 - Door staff to wear body worn cameras.
 - Toilets frequently cleaned and visited
 - Biometric scanners to be used from 22:00 except for hotel guests
 - Pre-booked private function – guest list required
 - Detailed risk assessment of events
18. Mr Rankin stated that if the matter is dealt with by the addition of these conditions, then the Applicant feels that this is an appropriate and proportionate response to externally promoted events and to the incident which took place.
19. In response to questions from the Committee, the Applicant stated that the police were called at 22.32 on the 10th July 2022 by about 8 different people including the hotel receptionist, members of the public and security. He confirmed that 5 doorman and 2 police officers were injured and that there were a number of members of the public with injuries, but they would not cooperate with the police.
20. Mr Rankin identified that the business model of the Premises has changed as members of the public are now using the Premises as a late-night venue. It is an upstairs facility that cannot be seen from the street, so the Applicant

wanted conditions that reflect the change in business model and ones that excluded externally promoted events and addressed the nature of other events taking place.

21. Mr Rankin also confirmed to the Members that in the meetings held with the operators, the conditions were proposed by the police and were all agreed with the Applicant. The Premises Licence Holders did not 'barter' or decline any of the conditions.
22. PC Muldoon, for the Applicant stated that the hotel would not normally attract the sort of clientele that would be taking drugs and that he would class the Premises as a late-night venue, where customers generally attend after 22:00 and hence the wording of the ID scanning condition.
23. PC Muldoon further informed the Committee that the layout of the Premises is a concern and that it does not help matters that the bar is on the same floor as reception where guests are checking in. He had spoken to the hotel about having the ID scanners on the ground floor so that any customers who had been refused entry would not get up to the first floor. The operators explained that this is a hotel operation and hotel guests and guests using the hotel facilities for internally promoted events, were not involved in the incidents at the externally promoted event. It would not be proportionate to request all parties to be subject to an ID scanning system.

Submissions from the Licensing Authorities.

24. Ms Abbott made a representation in support of the Applicant. The Licensing Authority withdrew their assertion that the premises adversely affected the all the licensing objectives. However, the Licensing Authority felt it was necessary to support their colleagues due to the severity of the incident. The Premises Licence Holder have maintained correspondence with the Applicant throughout the Review process, the Authority support the Applicant's recommendation to add conditions.
25. Ms Abbott confirmed that the Licensing Authority are happy with the conditions which have been proposed

Submissions on behalf of and from the Premises Licence Holder.

26. Mr Grant, on behalf of the Premises Licence Holder, informed the Committee from outset that this is a matter of shame for the Marriott Hotel. He emphasised that what the Committee had viewed in the video footage was an exception and not a reflection of how the bar normally operates. The two violent incidents which occurred at the Premises were two too many.
27. The Committee were informed that this is a five-star hotel on Leicester Square, and the Premises Licence Holders will ensure there will not be similar incidents at the Premises again.

28. Mr Grant submitted that the Premises is not a crime and disorder hot spot, and the risks of repetition will be kept of an absolute minimum.
29. The Committee heard from Mr Grant that the mere fact that this Summary Review had been issued and the publicity thereafter, had tarnished the reputation of the hotel. The owners are determined to do everything that is required to bring in procedures to resolve the issues.
30. Mr Grant explained to the Members that the cause of the incidents was externally promoted events and outside of these events, there are no problems at the Premises. Therefore, Mr Grant submitted that the logical remedy is not to have externally promoted events. The Premises Licence Holders has immediately cancelled all externally promoted events, going forward.
31. The Committee were advised that the incident started as a result of the Premises staff acting in a responsible way. They noticed of some guests going to the bathroom frequently and at least 2 individuals were removed from the Premises for drug taking. Further individuals were caught doing the same thing and staff decided to stop the event. This then caused disorder.
32. As a result, Counsel stated that the Applicant and the operator has worked closely on a series of comprehensive conditions, taking into account that searching was not required per se, as the bar is also the hotel restaurant and if there was a condition relating to searching customers from 20:00, then they would have to search hotel guests who have attended for dinner. Hotel guests were not the cause of the incident and therefore such restrictions would not be proportionate.
33. Mr Grant submitted that corporate events were not high risk and nightclub type conditions before 22.00 were not required.
34. In response to questions from the Members, the Committee were informed that there were risk assessments which dealt with external events. They are not specific, but the Premises Licence Holder have taken on board the advice from the Applicant that all future internally promoted events will have individual specific risk assessments. Externally promoted events will not be permitted at the premises.
35. Mr Grant confirmed that staff have been and are going through training to recognise and deal with unusual behaviour from customers and he accepted that condition 13 of the proposed conditions, lodged in evidence stipulates 22:00 to avoid restaurant diners being caught by the restrictive conditions, as although there is risk prior to 22:00, it is significantly lower than the risks post 22.00. It would not be proportionate to consider imposing an earlier time.
36. Counsel also advised the Committee that there is clearly a difference in the behaviour of hotel security and the agency SIA staff and their behaviour on

the night has been dealt with seriously. The Premises Licence Holders will not have security staff standing around aimlessly during any future events.

37. Mr Burnett, Legal Adviser to the Committee explored clarification and possible amendments to certain conditions proffered to the Committee.
38. In relation to condition 3 – Mr Grant explained that a Personal Licence Holder would always be on duty on the first floor of the premises.
39. The Legal Officer felt that a definition of externally promoted events was required in condition 4 and wording was subsequently agreed with all parties.
40. Condition 5 relates to the whole Premises, 1 SIA will be at the front entrance and the other doing patrols on all floors. Amendments to that condition were agreed.
41. Mr Burnett suggested that a separate condition stating SIA to wear badges would be suitable.
42. In condition 6 the word 'venue' is to be amended to 'premises' and condition 7 the word 'management' would be changed to 'Premises Licence Holder.'
43. In condition 10 all visits must be recorded electronically or in writing and similarly, condition 13 will include electronically or written logs and that they will be held at the entrance of the hotel or at reception on the first floor.
44. Condition 14 shall include a requirement for a manager of the hotel or a nominated deputy to check the risk assessments for each event at the premises.
45. The conditions and the amendments, as shown below in this decision were reviewed and agreed with Counsel, Mr Rankin, Mr Grant and Karyn Abbott.

REVIEW OF INTERIM STEPS SUBMISSIONS

46. The Applicant consider the Premises are associated with serious crime and serious disorder and the Committee decided on the 20th July 2022 that the Premises were associated with serious crime and serious disorder and that it was necessary to take the following steps: -
47. To attach the additional conditions to the existing Premises Licence:
 - The sale of alcohol shall be restricted to hotel residents and up to 4 bona fide guests of each resident.
 - Remove Dario Mazzoli as the DPS

48. The Committee has to review this decision at the full Review hearing and consider whether the steps or any other step(s) should continue and whether those steps should take immediate effect.
49. Mr Grant on behalf of the Premises Licence Holder, submitted that if the Committee were minded to apply the agreed, additional conditions proposed, in support of the full Review hearing, the Applicant and Premises Licence Holder would ask the Committee to modify the Interim Steps imposed on the 20th July 2022 and replace them with the additional, proposed conditions to have immediate effect, save for agreed condition 9), in respect of the body worn cameras, which is to take 14 days to take effect.
50. The Premises Licence Holders will have the body worn cameras within this period but in the meantime, they would be able to continue to operate.
51. Mr Rankin for the Applicant, and Ms Abbott confirmed that they have no objections and would be content with this approach.

LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Licensing Act 2003 Sec 53A-C
- Violent Crime Reduction Act 2006
- Guidance issued under section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003 (“Guidance”)
- Department for Culture Media and Sport, Licensing Act 2003 – Expedited/Summary Licence Reviews Guidance (October 2007)

DECISION OF THE COMMITTEE IN RELATION TO THE REVIEW OF THE FULL REVIEW AND THE INTERIM STEPS

52. The Committee adjourned the hearing to make its determination and resumed the hearing to announce its Decision and to summarise its reasons which are more fully set out below.
53. The Committee recognised that the purpose of today’s hearing was twofold: -
- (A) Firstly, to consider what appropriate and proportionate steps should be taken for the promotion of the licensing objectives in respect of the review; and
- (B) Secondly, to review the interim steps taken on 20th July 2022 at the Interim Steps hearing and decide whether it is appropriate for any of the steps to remain in place or to be modified and if so, whether such steps should continue to have immediate effect.
54. The Committee recognised that the proceedings set out in the Act for reviewing premises licences and paragraph 11.1 of the Guidance, represent a key protection for the community when problems associated with the licensing objectives occur.

55. The Act provides the Licensing Authority with a range of powers on determining a review that it may exercise where it considers them appropriate and proportionate for the promotion of the licensing objectives. The Committee are aware that under Paragraph 11.20, ***“In deciding which of these powers to invoke, the Licensing Authority should so far as possible seek to establish the cause or causes of the concerns which the representations identify. The remedial action taken should generally be directed at these causes and should always be no more than an appropriate and proportionate response. Each case has to be determined on its own merits, on the balance of probabilities.”***

A. FULL REVIEW DECISION

56. The Licensing Authority have received a valid application for an Expedited Review under Section 53 A of the Licensing Act 2003, from the Police on 18th July 2022.

57. Having carefully considered the Committee papers, the additional papers and evidence, the video evidence and the submissions made by the Metropolitan Police, Licensing Authority and the Premises Licence Holder, orally, **the Committee has decided**, that the Premises are associated with serious crime and serious disorder.

58. After taking into account all of the individual circumstances of this case and the promotion of the four licensing objectives, that it is appropriate and proportionate for the Committee to **modify and to add the following conditions** to the existing conditions on the Premises Licence, and **delete** condition 15, on the existing Premises Licence.

Agreed Conditions to be added to the Operating schedule of the existing Premises Licence:

1. A staff member from the premises who is conversant with the operation of the CCTV system shall be on the premises at all times when the premises are open. This staff member must be able to provide a Police or authorised officer copies of recent CCTV images or data with the absolute minimum of delay when requested.

2. All hotel lift systems shall have CCTV fitted in accordance with the general CCTV condition for the premises.

3. There shall be a personal licence holder on duty on the first floor of the premises at all times when the premises are authorised to sell alcohol.

(This replaces condition 15 on the existing Premises Licence which is now deleted.)

4. There shall be no externally promoted events. For the avoidance of doubt, an externally promoted event is;

- a) an event involving dancing and recorded music or music played by DJ(s) not directly employed or hired by the premises licence holder

- b) an event involving dancing and recorded music or music as in a) where the event is promoted to the general public by someone other than the Premises Licence Holder
- c) an event involving dancing and recorded music or music as in (a) and (b) where the event is booked and managed by someone other than the Premises Licence Holder.

5. A minimum of (2) SIA licensed door supervisors shall be on duty at the premises at all times whilst it is open for business. There shall also be a minimum of 1 SIA officer to 100 customers (excluding residents and their bona fide guests).

6. All SIA licensed door supervisors shall correctly display their SIA licence(s) at all times when on duty so as to be visible.

7. All SIA licensed door supervisors shall wear hi visibility jackets or vests between the hours of 20:00 & 07:00 when deployed either outside the premises or at the main entrance door to the premises.

8. In the event that a serious assault is committed on the Premises (or appears to have been committed) the Premises Licence Holder shall immediately ensure that:

- a. The police (and, where appropriate, the London Ambulance Service) are called without delay;
- b. All measures that are reasonably practicable are taken to apprehend any suspects pending the arrival of the police;
- c. The crime scene is preserved so as to enable a full forensic investigation to be carried out by the police; and
- d. Such other measures are taken (as appropriate) to fully protect the safety of all persons present on the Premises.

9. The Premises Licence Holder shall ensure that:

- a. All licensed SIA door staff on duty at the Premises shall be equipped with Body Worn Video (BWV), capable of recording audio and video in any light condition.
- b. All recordings shall be stored for a minimum period of 31 days with date and time stamping, and
- c. Viewing of recordings shall be made available immediately upon the request of Police or authorised officer throughout the preceding 31 day period.

10. As soon as possible, and in any event within 1 month the premises shall join the local Pub/Club watch or other local crime reduction scheme approved by the Police.

11. On Thursdays, Fridays and Saturdays, between the hours of 20:00 to 03:00 (day following) (or until closure of the bar to non-residents) or whenever a pre-booked event is taking place there shall be a presence of cleaning hosts/front of house staff throughout the operation who frequently visit all customer toilet facilities at intervals of no longer than 20 minutes. All visits shall be recorded electronically or in writing and members of the team shall have access to security staff, as required.

12. Patrons permitted to temporarily leave and then re-enter the premises i.e., to smoke, shall not be permitted to take drinks or glass containers with them.

13. An incident log shall be kept at the premises and made available on request to an authorised officer of Westminster Council or the Police. It must be completed within 24 hours of an incident and shall record the following:

- a) All crimes reported at the venue
- b) All ejections of patrons from the premises
- c) Any complaints received concerning crime and disorder
- d) Any incidents of disorder
- e) All seizures of drugs or offensive weapons
- f) Any faults in the CCTV system
- g) Any refusal of the sale of alcohol
- h) Any visit by a relevant authority or emergency service

14. From 2200 hours each day until closure of the bar/ lounge to non-residents, all customers who are not residents of the hotel or their bona fide guests, shall;

a. When entering the premises have their ID scanned on entry, save for when a biometric scanning system is in place. The details recorded shall include a live facial image capture of the customer and capture the photographic identification produced. The details recorded by the ID scanner system shall be made available to the Police and the local authority upon request.

b. The requirement in (a) above is subject to the following exceptions, namely that a maximum number of 25 guests per night may be admitted at the Manager's discretion without necessarily photo ID being scanned and recorded. The admission of such guests however shall be in accordance with the following procedure:

(i) The DPS shall approve in writing the names of a maximum of three managers other than him/herself who are authorised to sign in such guests.

(ii) A legible record (the signing in sheet) of those guest's name shall be retained on the premises for inspection by the licensing authority and Police for a minimum period of 31 days. The name of the DPS approved manager authorising the admission shall also be recorded by that manager,

(iii) Guests shall be required to produce some form of ID such as a bank card (or emailed electronic photo ID) and ID scan entry with a live photo shall be created.

(iv) Where there are appropriate reasons for a guest not to be able to produce ID and be subject to ID scan, the Approved Manager may still permit entry. In such circumstance he shall also record the reasons for this in the signing in sheet.

c. Notwithstanding (a) above, patrons who are attending a pre-booked private event at the premises do not need to have their ID Scanned on entry and instead, a written or electronic guest list shall be held at the entrance of the hotel or at reception for the

event and shall be retained for 31 days after the event for inspection by the police and responsible authorities upon request. AND

d. Patrons who are members of the Premises' associated loyalty scheme do not need to have their ID Scanned on entry and instead their loyalty scheme number shall be taken upon entry and shall be retained for 31 days after the event for inspection by the police and responsible authorities upon request.

(i) The DPS shall approve in writing the names of a maximum of three managers other than him/herself who are authorised to sign in such guests.

(ii) A legible record (the signing in sheet) of those guest's name shall be retained on the premises for inspection by the licensing authority and Police for a minimum period of 31 days. The name of the DPS approved manager authorising the admission shall also be recorded by that manager,

(iii) Guests shall be required to produce some form of ID such as a bank card (or emailed electronic photo ID) and ID scan entry with a live photo shall be created.

(iv) Where there are appropriate reasons for a guest not to be able to produce ID and be subject to ID scan, the Approved Manager may still permit entry. In such circumstance he shall also record the reasons for this in the signing in sheet.

15. If an event has been arranged by the Premises Licence Holder's internal team then a risk assessment shall be completed, shall be specific to that event and shall be checked and authorised by the Hotel Manager or in their absence, a nominated Deputy. The risk assessment must be event specific and completed for every event held, this must be written and available to police, the local authority or licensing inspector upon request.

B. REVIEW INTERIM STEP DECISION

The Committee has also reviewed the Interim Steps which were imposed on the 20 July 2022.

The Committee are mindful that they may take such Interim Steps as it **considers necessary** and proportionate for the promotion of the licensing objectives, namely: -

- (a) modification of the conditions of the premises licence (modify, delete or add conditions);
- (b) to exclude the sale by retail of alcohol from the scope of the licence.
- (c) to remove the designated premises supervisor.
- (d) to suspend the licence;
- (e) to take no action.

Having carefully considered the Committee papers, the additional evidence, video evidence and the submissions made by Counsel for the Premises Licence Holder, the Metropolitan Police and Licensing Authority verbally, **the Committee has decided**, that it is necessary and proportionate for the Committee modify the Interim Steps imposed on the 20th July 2022 and to replace them with the above, agreed additional conditions, imposed during the full Review of the Premises Licence on 11th August 2022, with a modification of additional condition 8 which shall read:

8. Within 14 days of the 11th August 2022, the Premises Licence Holder shall ensure that:

- a. All licensed SIA door staff on duty at the Premises shall be equipped with Body Worn Video (BWV), capable of recording audio and video in any light condition.*
- b. All recordings shall be stored for a minimum period of 31 days with date and time stamping, and*
- c. Viewing of recordings shall be made available immediately upon the request of Police or authorised officer throughout the preceding 31 day period.*

The Committee has also decided that the decision in respect of the reviewed Interim Steps, this Decision shall take **immediate effect**.

The Committee's determination in relation to the full Review does not have effect until the end of the period given for appealing against the reasoned decision, or if the decision is appealed against, until the appeal is disposed of.

Informative:

We commend the Metropolitan Police and recognise their swift action when confronted with thugs and this mass brawl. In particular we recognise the bravery of the two officers who first attended the incident.

We thank them for making Westminster City a safer place for all.

The Licensing Sub-Committee
11 August 2022

The Meeting ended at 1.15 pm